

THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING IS TO:

Statement results

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this study are being or have been carried-out by UDOT pursuant to 23 USC 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated January 17, 2017, and executed by FHWA and UDOT.





Explain the Draft Environmental Impact

Present the Preferred Alternative

Gather your input on the Preferred Alternative



AUGUST 2017 - WINTER 2019

SCOPING

PURPOSE & NEED



Gather initial data and input from agencies and the public regarding issues that should be addressed during the EIS. A Public Scoping Open House was held in August 2017 where formal comments were received.

Help define transportation needs in the study area by using public input together with current and projected traffic data, population projections, land use, and planned economic development.

Consider a wide range of alternatives (including the No-action Alternative) that meet the Purpose & Need. Members of the public had an opportunity to review and provide feedback at a Public Open House held in August 2018. Screen alternatives based on their ability to meet the Purpose & Need and potential impacts to the natural and built environment.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PROCESS

ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT & SCREENING

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE ANALYSES

Examine in detail the potential social, economic, and environmental impacts for each alternative selected for further analysis (including the No-action Alternative).

The document presents the Purpose & Need, the alternatives development and screening process, describes the potential impacts for each alternative selected for detailed study, and identifies the one **Preferred Alternative** recommended as the proposed solution.

PREPARE AND PUBLISH DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

SPRING 2019

PUBLIC HEARING & 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD (MARCH 8 - APRIL 22)

March 19, 2019

Verbal and/or written comments will be received from all interested persons or groups regarding the Preferred Alternative and its social, economic, and environmental effects.

SUMMER 2019

PREPARE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL **IMPACT STATEMENT**

APPROVE/ISSUE RECORD OF DECISION

Respond to all comments received during the 45-day comment period and finalize the EIS.

UDOT will make a final determination on the EIS which is documented in a Record of Decision.



PURPOSE

Maintain the operations and safety of I-15 between Exit 10 and Exit 13

Enhance the mobility and safety of the transportation system in Washington City's primary business district



PURPDSE & NEED

SUPPORTING NEED

Traffic queuing onto mainline I-15 from the Exit 10 exit ramps

Travel delay, traffic queuing, and safety concerns on surface streets



PROJECT NEED: I-15 TRAFFIC QUEUING

Traffic queuing beyond the ramp into the I-15 mainline travel lanes results in unsafe travel conditions. Stopped and/or substantially slowed vehicles in the high-speed travel lanes result in unsafe driving maneuvers, sudden and unanticipated lane changes, and increased rear-end collisions.

WHAT IS QUEUING?

A traffic queue is a line of vehicles waiting to move through an intersection. When not addressed, queues can result in severe roadway network congestion.





PROJECT NEED: SURFACE STREET QUEUING AND TRAFFIC CONGESTION







Level of Service (LOS) measures the quality of the traffic flow rate in terms of:

- Speed
- Average travel delay
- Travel times
- Freedom to maneuver

LOS ranges from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions (little or no congestion or delay) and LOS F representing the worst operating conditions (extreme congestion and delay with long traffic queues and stop-and-go traffic).





WHAT IS LEVEL-OF-SERVICE (LOS)?





ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT & SCREENING PROCESS

DEVELOP TRANSPORTATION CONCEPTS (46 TOTAL CONCEPTS DEVELOPED)

EXPAND EXISTING CAPACITY

- Roadway Widening
- Intersection/Interchange Improvements
- Alternative Intersection Designs
- Roadway Relocations/ Reconfigurations

ADD CAPACITY

- New Roadways
- New Interchanges
- Frontage Roads
- Grade separation at intersections

INCREASE EFFICIENCY

- Access Control Improvements
- Bike and Pedestrian Improvements
- Transit Improvements
- Traffic Signal Timing Improvements

EVALUATE CONCEPTS

- Is the concept a new idea (not on an existing transportation plan)?
- Can the concept be designed and constructed to reasonable and current engineering standards? • Does the concept provide a measurable transportation benefit? **13 CONCEPTS CARRIED FORWARD**

DEVELOP ALTERNATIVES Combine 13 Concepts into Multiple Alternatives

6 BUILD ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPED



– NORTHBOUND **GREEN SPRING DR** WIDENING

Widen Northbound Green Spring Dr/3050 E to 4 lanes



2 - FRONTAGEROADS

One-Way Frontage Road System between Exit 10 & Exit 13 interchanges



3 - GRADE**SEPARATION**

Grade separate Green Spring Dr/Telegraph St (Telegraph St over)



4 – MAIN ST **INTERCHANGE** New interchange at Main St



5 – 300 E **INTERCHANGE** New interchange at 300



6 – THRU-TURNS Thru-turns at Green Spring Dr/Telegraph St intersection (eliminate lefts)

CONCEPTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES:

- Widen Green Spring Dr/3050 E from 5 lanes to 7 lanes
- Add dedicated right-turn lane for southbound Green Spring Dr at Buena Vista Blvd
- Widen Telegraph Street/Green Spring Dr intersection Green Spring Dr/3050 E
- Widen Telegraph Street from 5 lanes to 7 lanes
- Widen/improve Telegraph St/750 W intersection
- Install raised median along portions of Telegraph St &

LEVEL 1: Evaluate and Screen Alternatives based on Purpose & Need

- Does the alternative have queuing that extends into mainline I-15?
- Does the alternative provide overall intersection level-of-service D or better at study intersections?
- Does the alternative have queuing that extends into adjacent intersections?

LEVEL 2: Evaluate and Screen Alternatives based on Key Environmental Resources

- Relocations
- Cultural (archaeological and architectural) resources
- Section 4(f)

ALTERNATIVES RECOMMENDED FOR FURTHER DETAILED ANALYSIS









ALTERNATIVE 4

NEW INTERCHANGE AT MAIN STREET

New Interchange at Main St



THIS ALTERNATIVE WOULD:

- Construct a new interchange on I-15 at Main Street in Washington City
- Realign Main Street under I-15 and widen from two lanes to five lanes between Buena Vista Boulevard and Telegraph Street
- Add a dedicated right-turn lane for westbound Telegraph Street at Main Street
- Widen Green Spring Drive/3050 East from five lanes to seven lanes
- Add a dedicated right-turn lane for southbound Green Spring Drive at Buena Vista Boulevard
- Widen Telegraph Street/Green Spring Drive intersection
- Widen Telegraph Street from five lanes to to seven lanes
- Widen/improve Telegraph Street/750 West intersection
- Install raised median along portions of Telegraph Street and Green Spring Drive/3050 East

Green Spring Dr/3050E



- **Potential Business Relocations**
- **Potential Residential Relocations**
- Adverse Effect to Cultural Resources
- Greater than *de minimis* Section 4(f) Impact



ALTERNATIVE 5

NEW INTERCHANGE AT 300 E

New Interchange at 300 E



THIS ALTERNATIVE WOULD:

- Construct a new interchange on I-15 at 300 East in Washington City
- Widen 300 East from two lanes to five lanes between Buena Vista Boulevard and Telegraph Street
- Widen/Improve 300 East/Telegraph Street intersection
- Widen Green Spring Drive/3050 East from five lanes to seven lanes
- Add a dedicated right-turn lane for southbound Green Spring Drive at Buena Vista Boulevard
- Widen Telegraph Street/Green Spring Drive intersection
- Widen Telegraph Street from five lanes to to seven lanes
- Widen/improve Telegraph Street/750 West intersection
- Install raised median along portions of Telegraph Street and Green Spring Drive/3050 East



St to 7 lanes

- Potential Business Relocations
- **Potential Residential Relocations**
- Adverse Effect to Cultural Resources





ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE IMPACTS

RESOURCE	NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	ALTERNATIVE 4: MAIN ST INTERCHANGE	ALTERNATIVE 5: 300 E INTERCHANGE
LAND USE	 Continued development of undeveloped properties. 	 Conversion of 6.6 acres to roadway use. May change the type of commercial development at Main Street and Buena Vista Boulevard. Could lead to long-term pressure to change residential zoning to commercial zoning. 	300 East and Buena Vista Boulevard.
FARMLAND	No impact.	No impacts	
SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	No impact.	Removal of 6 homes.Reduced neighborhood cohesion and interaction.	 Removal of 16 homes. Reduced neighborhood cohesion and interaction.
ECONOMICS	 Increased congestion around Exit 10 interchange. Potential impacts to business access. 	 Loss of some landscaped areas along Green Spring Drive and Telegraph Road. Loss of a total of 52 parking stalls, including 31 commercial. 	 Relocation of 8 businesses in the Washington Plaza retail building. Loss of some landscaped areas along Green Spring Drive and Telegraph Road. Loss of 43 commercial parking stalls.
RIGHT-OF-WAY AND RELOCATIONS	No impact.	 6 residential relocations. Acquisition of 6.60 acres (1.27 acres as a result of relocations and 5.33 acres of partial acquisition from 49 parcels). 	 16 residential and 8 commercial relocations. Acquisition of 9.04 acres (4.49 acres as a result of relocations and 4.55 acres of partial acquisition from 54 parcels).
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	No impact.	 No disproportionately high or adverse effects. 	
TRANSPORTATION	No impact.	 Loss of 52 delineated parking stalls, including 21 on Main Street. Loss of non-delineated, on-street parking along Main Street. 	 Loss of 43 delineated parking stalls. Loss of non-delineated, on-street parking along 300 East.



ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE IMPACTS cont.

RESOURCE	NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	ALTERNATIVE 4: MAIN ST INTERCHANGE
DEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS	No impact.	 Sidewalks constructed on Main Street between Telegraph Street and Buena Vista Boulevard. Additional traffic on Main Street, reducing utility as Safe School route. Reconstruction of sidewalks on Green Spring Drive and Telegraph Street.
AIR QUALITY	Vehicle emission rates improve due to EPA regulations.	 Study Area is in attainment area for criteria pollutants. Localized increases in Mobile Source Air Toxins. No new exceedances of the National Ambient Air Quality
NOISE	No impact.	 Average noise level increase of 0.6 dBA. 129 impacted receptors.
CULTURAL RESOURCES	No impact.	• No Adverse Effect to one architectural property (25 East TelegraphStreet) and one archaeological site (Washington City Ditch System).
SECTION 4(F) PROPERTIES	No impact.	 Deminimis impact—Acquisition of 0.03 acres of proposed Warm Springs Park. Deminimis impact—Acquisition of 650 square feet from historic property at 25 East Telegraph Street.
WATER RESOURCES	No impact.	 Increase to impervious ground surface. Quantity and quality of groundwater would not be in management practices.
WETLANDS	No impact.	• Impact to 0.15 acres of waters of the US, including wetlands.
FLOODPLAINS	Main Street would continue to have flooding issues associated with storm events.	 No impact to floodplains. Curb and gutter on Main Street would improve ability to handle storm event flooding.

ALTERNATIVE 5: 300 E INTERCHANGE

h	 Sidewalks reconstructed on 300 East between Telegraph Street and Buena Vista Boulevard.
е	 Additional traffic on 300 East, reducing utility as Safe School route.
d	 Reconstruction of sidewalks on Green Spring Drive and Telegraph Street.

ality Standards.

- Average noise level increase of 0.4 dBA.
- 95 impacted receptors.

T	• No Adverse Effect to two architectural properties ((14)
ſ	North 300 East, 126 North 300 East).	\ · ·

- De minimis impact–Acquisition of 215 square feet from historic property at 14 North 300 East.
- De minimis impact–Acquisition of 588 square feet from historic property at 126 North 300 East.

impacted due to the use of storm drain systems with best

g	No impact.
<u>y</u>	No impact.



ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE IMPACTS cont.

RESOURCE	NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	ALTERNATIVE 4: MAIN ST INTERCHANGE
THREATENED & ENDANGERED SPECIES/ OTHER WILDLIFE	No impact.	 No effect on federally listed species or critical habitat. Removal of migratory bird habitat at Warm Springs and within landscaped commercial and residential areas.
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	No impact.	 Potential to encounter contaminated soils and/or groun
SOILS AND GEOLOGY	No impact.	 The Washington Fault presents a seismic risk to existing in the second se
VISUAL CONDITIONS	No impact.	 New interchange constructed at Main Street. Some views of the Pine Valley Mountains and Red Cliffs Reserve could be impacted.
UTILITIES AND EMERGENCY SERVICES	No impact.	 Potential impacts to existing utilities along associated rol No impact to Emergency Services.
CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	No impact.	 Temporary congestion, delays, detours, noise, dust and Temporary construction easements. Temporary visual impacts. Potential to introduce or spread invasive species.
WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS	No impact.	No impact.
ENERGY	No impact.	 Slightly higher fuel consumption.

ALTERNATIVE 5: 300 E INTERCHANGE

- No effect on federally listed species or critical habitat.
- Potential to remove migratory bird habitat within landscaped commercial and residential areas.

ndwater from the Freeway Chevron property.

roads and improvements proposed by the build alternatives.

- New interchange constructed at 300 East.
- Some views of the Pine Valley Mountains and Red Cliffs Reserve could be impacted.

oadways.

particulates, soil erosion.

No impact.

• Slightly higher fuel consumption.



SECTION 4(F) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1966 REQUIRES AVOIDING THE USE OF:

- Historic properties listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).
- Land from publicly owned parks, recreation areas, or wildlife/waterfowl refuges.

THE USE OF A SECTION 4(F) **PROPERTY MAY NOT BE APPROVED UNLESS IT IS DETERMINED THAT:**

- There is no feasible and prudent avoidance alternative to the use of land from the property; and the action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the property resulting from such use; or
- The use of the property, including any measure(s) to minimize harm (such as any avoidance, minimization, mitigation, or enhancement measures) will have a *de minimis** impact.

*A *de minimis* impact is one where the project would only have minor impacts on resources protected by Section 4(f).

SECTION 4(F)



4 – MAIN ST INTERCHANGE HISTORIC PROPERTIES

Alternative 4 minimized Section 4(f) impacts by implementing roadway alignment shifts and would have the following impact to historic properties:

25 East Telegraph Street (*de minimis* impact)

RECREATION RESOURCES

The Preferred Alternative would impact the following publicly owned recreation resource:

Proposed Warm Springs Park

UDOT has consulted with Washington City, the official with jurisdiction, and they have concurred that the affects to the above recreational resource meet the impacts criteria and requirements for a *de minimis* impacts finding.



5 – 300 E INTERCHANGE

HISTORIC PROPERTIES

Alternative 5 minimized Section 4(f) impacts by implementing roadway alignment shifts and would have the following impact to historic properties:

- 14 North 300 East (*de minimis* impact)
- 126 North 300 East (*de minimis* impact)



BALLOTING PROCESS

UDOT will conduct balloting for each proposed noise wall to determine if noise abatement is desired by property owners and residents.

The balloting process, as defined by the UDOT Noise Policy, requires the following:

- A noise ballot will be sent to property owners and residents who are either directly adjacent to the end of the noise wall or benefited by the noise wall (defined as receiving at least a 5 dBA noise reduction).
- At least 75% of ballots sent out need to be completed and returned.
- 75% of returned ballots need to be in favor.

If property owners and residents vote to reject construction of a noise wall there will not be another opportunity to address noise impacts until there is another project that adds lanes or substantially alters lane locations.



NO SE





PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SELECTION PROCESS



TRAFFIC OPERATIONS AT EXIT 10

2040 PROJECTED LEVEL-OF-SERVICE DURING PM PEAK HOUR







Exit 10 traffic operations with implementation of **5 – 300 E INTERCHANGE**



Worst Movement Seconds of Delay XX sec

Minimal Congestion, less than 35 seconds delay (LOS A, B, or C) Acceptable, between 35 and 55 seconds delay (LOS D) Congested, delays more than 56 seconds (LOS E or F)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

RESOURCE	ALTERNATIVE 4: MAIN STREET	ALTERNATIVE 5: 300 EAST
Right-of-Way	6.60 acres total acquisition	9.04 acres total acquisition
Relocations	6 residences	16 residences 8 businesses
Social	Potential for decreased social cohesion as a result of increased traffic on Main Street	Potential for decreased social cohesion as a result of increased traffic on 300 East
		Impact to cemetery
Economics	No impact	8 business relocations, minor impact to Washington City tax base
Pedestrian and Bicyclist Considerations	Additional traffic may reduce utility for Main Street as a Safe School Route	Additional traffic may reduce utility for 300 East as a Safe School Route
		Additional traffic would pass through the crossing-guard protected crossing of 300 East at 300 North
Wetlands	Minor impact to wetlands (0.15 acres) on north side of I-15	No Impact

PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

Alternative 4 was identified as the Preferred Alternative because it provided for better operations at the Exit 10 intersections and would have less environmental impacts when compared to Alternative 5.





THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION PROCESS CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING STEPS

- **1.** Identification The study team will identify what property will be needed to construct the preferred alternative.
- **Contact** Property owners will be contacted directly if their property is 2. identified as a full or partial acquisition.
- **Valuation** Right-of-way professionals will estimate the value of property. 3.
- **Acquisition** Right-of-way professionals will work closely with the property 4. owner to acquire needed property at fair market value.

RIGHT-OF-WAY PROCESS (Property Acquisition)





- Please remember that commenting is not a form of voting.
- Comments should be clear, concise and relevant to the transportation needs, alternatives, and impacts presented.
- Feedback that is solution-oriented and provides specific examples of concerns and ideas is the most helpful in shaping the EIS.
- Comments are helpful when they address what the transportation needs are in the community, how current transportation trends affect your community, how proposed solutions to these needs may affect you and/or your family, etc.
- The study team will respond to all comments received during the comment period in the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

Comments provided to the project team will be reviewed and considered by UDOT as it develops the project. All comments received will be documented in the project record. The study team will contact you if they need additional information or clarification.

Comments made during the EIS public review period will be considered as UDOT prepares the Final EIS. Comments provided during the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process to UDOT are a matter of public record and subject to public release, if requested. For more information, see the Terms of Use at the bottom of the Utah.gov website. Comments that are publicly displayed through online tools must follow our UDOT Social Media Policy Participant Code of Conduct. Comments that are unacceptable under that policy may be removed at

the administrator's discretion.

PUBLIC COMMENTS Due by April 22, 2019

 (α)



Website: www.mp11.org

Email: info@mp11.org

Hotline: 435-477-6211