

APPENDIX C CHAPTER 6 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

DOCUMENT	PREPARER	CONTACT	
Coordination Plan	Horrocks Engineers	Craig Bown Horrocks Engineers 2162 W. Grove Parkway, Suite 400 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84602	
2017 Public Meeting Summary	Horrocks Engineers Kim Hazlewood	Nicole Tolley Horrocks Engineers 2162 W. Grove Parkway, Suite 400 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84602	
2018 Public Meeting Summary	Horrocks Engineers Kim Hazlewood	Nicole Tolley Horrocks Engineers 2162 W. Grove Parkway, Suite 400 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84602	
Agency Correspondence Letters	Horrocks Engineers	Craig Bown Horrocks Engineers 2162 W. Grove Parkway, Suite 400 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84602	



COORDINATION PLAN

PREPARED BY

Horrocks Engineers

CONTACT

Craig Bown Horrocks Engineers 2162 W. Grove Parkway, Suite 400 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84602





COORDINATION PLAN

I-15 Milepost 11 Environmental Impact Statement

WASHINGTON COUNTY

December 2018



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
1.1 Purpose of this Coordination Plan	1
1.2 Project Overview	1
Figure 1. Study Area	2
2.0 Lead, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies	3
2.1 Agencies Roles and Responsibilities	3
Table 1: Agency Point of Contact	4
3.0 Agency Coordination, Public Involvement, and Scheduling	5
3.1 Participating and Cooperating Agencies	5
3.2 Public Involvement	5
3.3 Scheduling	6
Table 2: Summary of Key Coordination Milestones	6
4.0 Additional Agency Coordination	8
4.1 Project Development	8
4.2 Permits, Licenses, and Approvals	8
Table 3: Government Approvals and/or Regulatory Permits	8
5.0 Issue Identification and Resolution	9
6.0 Coordination Plan Revision History	9
Table 3: Coordination Plan Revisions	9



Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this Coordination Plan

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) is the lead agency responsible for preparing the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to study and evaluate potential transportation solutions at Interstate 15 (I-15)/Green Spring Drive Interchange (Exit 10) and the surrounding roadway system in Washington City within Washington County, Utah. Accordingly, compliance with Section 6002 of the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU; Public Law 109-059), codified as Section 139 of amended Chapter 1 of Title 23, United States Code (23 USC § 139), requires preparation of a coordination plan for all projects which an EIS is being prepared.

The purpose of this coordination plan is communicate the process in which the lead agency (UDOT) will coordinate public and agency participation and gather comments during this study. This plan may be updated periodically to reflect schedule updates and other changes. In general, this coordination plan:

- Identifies lead, participating, and cooperating agencies
- Describes the agency coordination and consultation process
- Identifies opportunities for public involvement
- Describes project communication methods
- Describes the public meetings to be held, likely information to be presented at each meeting, along with anticipated dates and locations
- Establishes a schedule for completion of the environmental review process

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this project are being, or have been, carried-out by UDOT pursuant to 23 U.S.C 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated January 17, 2017, and executed by FHWA and UDOT.

1.2 Project Overview

The environmental review process for this project began in the summer of 2017. An information meeting was held August 29, 2017 to gather public input related to the transportation needs within the study area and inform the community of the environmental process. Based on community concerns regarding potential outcomes of this study, UDOT decided the appropriate level of environmental review needed for this project would be best provided by proceeding with an EIS.

The proposed project study area extends east and west along I-15 between the I-15/Green Spring Drive Interchange (Exit 10) and I-15/Washington Parkway Interchange (Exit 13). The extent of the proposed study area is generally bound by Buena Vista Boulevard to the north and Telegraph Street to the south (see Figure 1). The proposed logical termini for this study are I-15 Exit 10 and Exit 13, as well as Buena Vista Boulevard, and Telegraph Street. Each of these streets are major arterials that provide north-south and east-west travel within the study area.





Figure 1. Study Area

As part of the EIS, UDOT will consider a range of alternatives based on the purpose and need of the project and taking into account agency and public input. The currently contemplated alternatives include: (1) taking no action (no-build); (2) making the existing system operate more efficiently; (3) adding capacity to the system; (4) dispersing of traffic more evenly throughout the system; (5) reducing traffic in the system; (6) combinations of any of the above; and (7) other reasonable alternatives if identified during the scoping process. Alternatives will be refined based on input from agencies and the public during the initial coordination/scoping period and agency and public involvement opportunities. Alternatives that do not meet the project purpose and need or that are otherwise not reasonable will not be carried forward for detailed consideration.



2.0 Lead, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies

2.1 Agencies Roles and Responsibilities

Lead Agency

Agency: UDOT Agency: UDOT
Name: Kim Manwill Name: Elisa Albury

Title: Project Manager Title: NEPA Program Oversight

Phone: 435-896-0733 Phone: 801-834-5284 Email: kmanwill@utah.gov Email: ealbury@utah.gov

As specified in the CEQ regulations for implementing NEPA (40 CFR Part 1501.5), lead agencies are responsible for supervising the preparation of the EIS. Lead agencies:

Provide increased oversight in managing the process and resolving issues

• Identify and involve participating agencies

• Develop coordination plans

- Provide opportunities for public and participating agency involvement in defining the purpose of and need for the project and determining the range of alternatives
- Collaborate with participating agencies in determining methodologies and the level of detail for the analysis of alternatives
- Are responsible for project milestones, agency coordination, and scheduling

Cooperating Agencies. A cooperating agency is any federal, state, or local agency, other than a lead agency, that has special expertise or regulatory authority with respect to any environmental impact and that is requested by a lead agency to be a cooperating agency. Cooperating agencies share responsibility with the lead agencies for developing information and environmental analyses related to their respective areas of expertise. Every cooperating agency will also be a participating agency and share the responsibilities of participating agencies, including the responsibilities to participate in the NEPA process at the earliest possible time and to participate in the scoping process.

Participating Agencies. A participating agency is a federal, state, tribal, regional, or local government agency that has an interest in a project. Nongovernmental organizations and private entities cannot serve as participating agencies. Participating agencies are responsible for identifying, as early as practicable, any issues of concern regarding the project's potential environmental or socioeconomic impacts. A participating agency's role is to:

- Participate in the scoping process
- Provide meaningful and early input on defining the purpose of and need for the project, potential
 alternatives to be considered, and determination of methodologies and level of detail required
 for the alternatives analysis
- Participate in coordination meetings and joint field reviews as appropriate
- Review and comment in a timely manner on the pre-draft or pre-final environmental documents
- Provide meaningful and timely input on unresolved issues



• Participate in meetings to resolve issues that could delay completion of the environmental review process or result in denial of approvals required for the project under applicable laws

See Table 1 for identification of lead, cooperating and participating agencies along with the point of contact.

Table 1: Agency Point of Contact

Agency	Role	Point of Contact
Utah Department of Transportation	Lead	Elisa Albury
		Title: NEPA Program Oversight
		Phone: 801-834-5284
		Email: ealbury@utah.gov
Environmental Protection Agency		Philip Strobel
	Participating	Title: Region 8, NEPA Program Director
		Phone: 303-312-6704
		Email: strobel.philip@epa.gov
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Participating	Craig Brown
		Title: St. George Regulatory Project Manger
		Phone: 435-986-3979
		Email: Craig.J.Brown@usace.army.mil
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Participating	Larry Crist
		Title: Utah Field Office Supervisor
		Phone: 801-975-3330
		Email: larry_crist@fws.gov
Advisory Council on Historic	Participating	MaryAnn Naber
Preservation		Title: FHWA Liaison Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
		Phone: 202-517-0218
		Email: MNABER@ACHP.GOV
Department of the Interior		Michaela Noble
	Participating	Title: Director
		Phone: 202-208-3891
		Email:
Washington City	Participating	Mike Shaw
		Title: Public Works Director
		Phone: 435-656-6311
		Email: mshaw@washington city.org
Dixie Metropolitan	Participating	Myron Lee
Planning Organization		Title: Director
		Phone: 435-673-3548
		Email: mlee@fivecounty.utah.gov
Cedar Band of Paiute Indians	Participating	Mertin Bow
		Title:
		Phone: 435-586-1112 x 602
		Email: mbow@utahpaiutes.org
Hopi Tribe	Participating	Danny Honanie
·		Title:
		Phone: 928-734-3172 x 3171
		Email: DHonanie@hopi.nsn.us
		ı



Agency	Role	Point of Contact
Indian Peaks Band of Paiute Indians	Participating	Jeanine Borchardt
		Title: Chairperson
		Phone: 435-238-0772
		Email:
Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah	Participating	Tamra Borchardt-Slayton
		Title: Tribal Chairperson
		Phone: 435-586-1112 x 102
		Email: tslayton@utahpaiutes.org
Shivwits Band of Paiute Indians	Participating	Patrick Charles
		Title: Band Chairman
		Phone:
		Email:
Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah &	Participating	Luke Dunkin
Ouray Reservation		Title: Chairperson
		Phone:
		Email:

3.0 Agency Coordination, Public Involvement, and Scheduling

3.1 Participating and Cooperating Agencies

The lead agency will ask the participating and cooperating agencies to submit comments during scoping that summarize their interests related to the study area. Following scoping, the lead agencies will coordinate with all appropriate agencies on the purpose and need for the project, alternatives development and screening, and methodologies for documenting environmental conditions and assessing impacts. Participating and cooperating agencies will be notified of the availability of the Draft and Final EIS documents and will be given appropriate comment opportunities (see Table 2 below). The lead agency will also coordinate with appropriate agencies to complete the necessary permits after the lead agency has issued their Record of Decision (ROD).

3.2 Public Involvement

Specific study elements will be directly influenced by public involvement. Public involvement will focus around the following four key processes

Public Scoping – Public scoping meetings will be used to identify concerns from a local perspective. During these meetings the importance of public input, via verbal or written comment, is heavily emphasized as a crucial element that helps the lead agency in developing a purpose and need, potential alternatives, and information for impacts analysis.

Purpose and Need/Development of Alternatives - The lead agency will use information gained through scoping to develop the purpose and need and a reasonable range of project alternatives. This information will be made available for review and comment through the public meetings and the project website. The public will also be able to review and comment on the criteria for screening the alternatives. All comments gathered will be considered by the lead agency when finalizing methodologies for the alternatives screening process and impact analysis. The lead agency will provide the proposed analysis methodologies to the participating agencies for their review.



Completion of the Draft EIS. The lead agency will publish a notice of availability (NOA) of the Draft EIS in the Federal Register and in local newspapers. A 45-day comment period will follow publication of the Draft EIS. A public hearing will be held in support of the Draft EIS during the comment period. Cooperating and participating agencies will be included on the distribution list for the Draft EIS.

Completion of the Final EIS and Issuance of the Record of Decision. Per Section 1319 of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), the lead agency will release a combined Final EIS and ROD to cooperating and participating agencies and the public and will publish an NOA in the Federal Register.

The methods of communication that the lead agency will use with the public throughout the project are discussed in the public involvement plan (see Appendix A) and are summarized below:

- Project Website The lead agency will develop a website for the project (https://www.mp11.org/)
 that will include project information, this coordination plan, an electronic comment form, and
 contact information. The information presented during the public meetings and the public hearing
 will be available on the website. The website will be updated as needed.
- Project Hotline and Email A project hotline (435-477-6211) and email account (info@mp11.org) will be available to respond to stakeholder inquiries and concerns.
- Project Newsletters Newsletters and fact sheets will be emailed by the lead agency to those that
 have signed up to be on the mailing list. The newsletters and fact sheets will also be available on
 the project website and at other public meetings or gatherings.

3.3 Scheduling

SAFETEA-LU Section 6002 states that, as part of the coordination plan, the federal lead agency may establish a schedule for completing the environmental review process for the project. The schedule should be developed in consultation with participating agencies and the lead agency. Table 2 summarizes key coordination milestone, timeframes for project milestones, and expected completion dates.

Table 2: Summary of Key Coordination Milestones

Milestone or	Participant	Action	Expected
Activity	·		Completion
Information Meeting	Lead agency	UDOT prepares for and holds initial	8/29/2017
	and public	public scoping meeting. UDOT	(Complete)
		requests public input during a 30-day	
		comment period.	
Early Agency Scoping Letters	Agencies	UDOT sends letters to agencies	9/5/2017
		informing them of the environmental	(Complete)
		study and request agency input	
		identifying potential resources or	
		concerns in study area.	
Prepare Class of Action	Lead agency	UDOT Environmental Services initiates	10/25/2017
		environmental review process as EIS	(Complete)
		with UDOT Deputy Director.	
Develop Draft	Lead agency	UDOT uses information received	Spring and
Purpose and Need and		during the early scoping phase to	Summer 2018
Potential Range of		develop initial draft purpose and need	(Complete)
Alternatives		statement and potential range of	
		alternatives.	

Table 2: Summary of Key Coordination Milestones

Milestone or	Participant	Action	Expected
Activity			Completion
Notice of Intent (NOI)	Lead agency	UDOT prepares NOI and coordinates	August 2018
		with FHWA on publishing the NOI to	(Complete)
		Federal Register.	
Invitation Letters to	Lead agency	UDOT sends letters to federal, state,	August 2018
Participating and Cooperating		and local agencies to inform them the	(Complete)
Agencies		study is now an EIS, invites them to be	
		participating/cooperating agencies.	
Public meeting	Lead cooperating,	UDOT holds an open house meeting	August 2018
	and	to gather comments on scoping, the	(Completed)
	participating	draft purpose and need statement,	
	agencies,	potential range of alternatives, and	
	interested	alternatives to be carried forward for	
	stakeholders, and	detail review in EIS. UDOT requests	
	public	public input during a 30-day comment	
Agency Input on Purpose and	Lead, participating,	period. UDOT provides draft Chapter 1 –	December
Need, range of Alternatives,	and cooperating	Purpose and Need and draft Chapter 2	2018
and screening methodology	agencies, and public	Alternatives for participating and	2010
and screening methodology	agencies, and public	cooperating agency review, and	
		comment. Request response within 30	
		days of receipt.	
Scoping Report	Lead agency	UDOT prepares a report that	Fall 2018
		summarizes scoping activities and	(Complete)
		comments.	
Analyze Environmental	Lead, participating,	UDOT characterizes environmental	Fall 2018
Impacts and Identify Preferred	and cooperating	conditions and analyzes	(Complete)
Alternative	agencies	environmental effects of project	
		alternatives. Prepare Draft EIS	
		identifying the Preferred Alternative.	
Draft EIS Public Comment	Lead, participating,	UDOT publish a Draft EIS Notice of	February 2018
Period and Hearing	and cooperating	Availability (NOA) in the Federal	
	agencies, and public	Register. UDOT holds a public hearing	
		on the Draft EIS and seek input during	
		a 45-day comment period.	
Compile and Respond to	Lead agency	UDOT compiles, categorizes, and	Winter 2018
Comments Draft EIS		responds to comments received on	
		the Draft EIS.	
Prepare Final EIS and Record	Lead agency	UDOT prepares the Final EIS and ROD,	Winter 2019
of Decision (ROD)		which includes responses to	
D 5: 5:0		comments.	6 : 2010
Release Final EIS and ROD	Lead agency	UDOT releases the Final EIS and ROD	Spring 2019
		with the NOA in the Federal Register.	



4.0 Additional Agency Coordination

4.1 Project Development

In addition to the coordination necessary to meet the milestones described in this coordination plan, the lead agencies will engage in continued coordination with participating and cooperating agencies as necessary to discuss and/or resolve major issues early in the project development/environmental phase. These meetings might involve only one or several agencies having interest in a particular subject. The lead agencies will be responsible for documenting coordination in support of major decisions.

Supplemental agency coordination during the preparation of the EIS would also support successful securement of the necessary permits, license, and other approvals scheduled to occur after issuance of the ROD and prior to project construction.

4.2 Permits, Licenses, and Approvals

Coordination during project development would support obtaining other approvals needed for the NEPA process (for example, National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 consultation and Endangered Species Act compliance). Coordination would also address the same issues and concerns that regulatory agencies normally consider as part of necessary permit, license, and other approval processes that would take place after issuance of a ROD. For example, by working closely with USACE during the NEPA process, UDOT would ensure that necessary future permitting actions taken by USACE could rely on the work done as part of the EIS. This coordination would enable UDOT to address subjects that are important to USACE and would allow USACE to more efficiently process a Clean Water Act Section 404 authorization.

Table 3 summarize the permits, license, and approval that might be needed to support the project if an action alternative were selected. Because the needed permits, licenses, and approvals would depend on the types of resources that could be affected by a specific action alternative, the final list of permits, licenses, and approvals would be developed once UDOT selects a preferred alternative.

Table 3: Government Approvals and/or Regulatory Permits

Basis	Permit or Approval	Agency or Government Entity with Jurisdiction
New Interchange on I-15	Interchange Access Change Request	FHWA
Air Quality	Air Quality Approval Order	UDEQ/DAQ
Air Quality	Fugitive Dust Emission Control Plan	UDEQ/DAQ
Noise	Temporary Noise Permits	Washington County Health Department and Washington City
Water Resources	UPDES General Permit for Construction Activities	UDEQ/DWQ
Water Resources	UPDES Construction Dewatering and Hydrostatic Testing	UDEQ/DWQ
Wetlands and Waters of the U.S. Nationwide 14 Permit		USACE
Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.	Stream Alteration Permit and the jointly obtained U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Programmatic General Permit 10 (PGP 10)	UDWR/ USACE



5.0 Issue Identification and Resolution

When needed, conflict resolution between agencies regarding the EIS process would be resolved through a standard dispute-resolution ladder. If staff at the lead agency and the cooperating and participating resource agencies need assistance in resolving disputes, they would refer the dispute to their supervisors. Resolution efforts would continue up the chain of command at each agency until the dispute can be resolved to the satisfaction of all parties.

6.0 Coordination Plan Revision History

Table 3: Coordination Plan Revisions

	Coordinatio	II FIAIT NEVISIONS	
Revision	Date	Completed By	Description of Update
1	12/06/18	Horrocks Engineers	 Updated Figure 1: Study Area to match the figure within the environmental document. No agency responses were received to become a cooperating agency. Therefore, in accordance with Section 6002 of SAFETEA-LU, the invited cooperating agencies were designated as participating agencies. Table 1: Agency Point of Contact was updated accordingly. Non respondent agencies and government entities invited to be participating agencies were deleted from Table 1: Agency Point of Contact. Added Table 3 to show potential government approvals and/or permits that may be needed if an alternative is selected.
2	12/19/18	Horrocks Engineers	Inserted Native American Tribes to Table 1: Agency Point of Contact to match language in EIS document.




2017 PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY

PREPARED BY

Horrocks Engineers Kim Hazlewood

CONTACT

Nicole Tolley Horrocks Engineers 2162 W. Grove Parkway, Suite 400 Pleasant Grove, Utah 84602



I-15 MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study Public Open House Report August 29,2017





SUMMARY REPORT

The following information is a summary of the preparation and execution of the Public Open House for the I-15 MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study in Washington, Utah (Project No. F-I15-1(116)11).

Meeting Type

The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) held a Public Open House to educate and inform the public about the MP 11 Environmental Study. The Environmental Study will consider transportation solutions (including a potential new interchange on I-15) to address congestion in the Washington City area between Exit 10/Green Spring Drive and Exit 13/Washington Parkway.

When/Where

The Open House was held on Tuesday August 29, 2017 from 4:30 to 7:30 p.m. at Washington Elementary School in Washington, Utah.

Advertisement

A variety of methods were employed to advertise the Open House:

- Approximately 1,800 mailers were sent to Washington City residents who live within the downtown area
- Members of the Community Coordination Team (CCT) were given fliers to distribute to their respective neighborhoods (Downtown, Green Spring, Washington Fields, etc.)
- Washington City mailed an announcement to all City residents in a newsletter that was sent out with utility bills
- Washington City posted an announcement on its social media outlets
- The City's reverse 911 system was used to contact residents to inform them of the meeting (there were 3.900 pickups)
- Fliers were hand-delivered to businesses at the Green Spring Interchange and on Telegraph Street from Green Spring Drive to 300 East
- UDOT sent a press release to the media which resulted in a news article and radio announcement

Attendance

273 attendees signed in at the front entrance. There were a few attendees who did not sign in and a few who signed in as a household with more than one attendee. It is estimated that approximately 300 people attended the Open House.

At sign-in, each attendee was asked to put a sticker on a map to represent where they currently live. The majority of the attendees were from the downtown area with another large portion coming from the Green Spring area. Additionally, a few residents from Washington Fields, Coral Canyon and the areas southeast of Telegraph St attended.

Information Presented at the Meeting

The meeting room was divided into four stations - Transportation, Environmental Study Process, Community & Environment, and Get Involved (comment area). Attendees received a "Tour Guide" at check-in to help guide them through the meeting room, and were free to roam and visit each station at will.

Transportation - information presented included current 2017 and future 2040 projected traffic volumes (with a no-build option). The Dixie MPO's long-range transportation plan was also included in the presentation materials. Attendees were asked to select their top 3 transportation concerns from 12 categories by placing stickers on a board. Categories included: Safety, Emergency Access, Transit, Travel Delay & Congestion, School Walking Routes, Business & Residential Access, Bicycle & Pedestrian Access, Road Widening/New Roads, Frontage Road Development, Commute Times, Regional Growth, Other.

The top 3 concerns in order of importance were:

- 1. Safety
- 2. Travel Delay and Congestion
- 3. School Walking Routes



Environmental Study Process - information presented included a large backdrop of a timeline representing the various phases of the environmental study and the intent of each phase. A board representing the project delivery process to help the public understand the events that took place prior to the environmental study and the events that need to occur after the study in order for a project to be constructed.

Community and Environment - information presented included a large map of Washington City detailing the various natural and built environmental resources within the study area. Attendees were asked to select their top 3 community and environmental concerns from 16 categories by placing stickers on a board. Categories included: Land Use, Social Environment, Economic Conditions/Economic Development, Right-of-Way Purchase & Relocations/Property Values, Environmental Justice Populations, Air Quality, Noise, Cultural, Paleontological Resources, Public Resources, Wetlands/Water Quality/Water Resources/Floodplains, Threatened & Endangered Species/Wildlife, Hazardous Materials & Hazardous Waste Sites, Visual & Aesthetic, Construction Impacts, Other.

The top 3 concerns are in order of importance were:

- 1. Right-of-Way Purchase & Relocations / Property Values (residential and commercial)
- 2. Social Environment (neighborhood cohesiveness)
- 3. Land Use (existing and future)

Get Involved - information presented included why public commenting was important to the process, comment cards and pens for attendees to leave written comments, information about the CCT members and who they represented, and information on what makes effective comments.

Commenting for the public was available in a variety of ways:

- A court reporter was available to record comments (report will be available in 2-3 weeks)
- An open microphone was available for attendees to voice their concerns and thoughts about the study. Each participant was asked to sign up for a 2-minute slot and the court reporter recorded all comments
- Three tables were available for attendees to sit and write their specific comments for each of the areas as well as general comments
- Information on how to provide comments to the study team electronically were given to attendees (www. mp11.org, info@mp11.org, or hotline 435-477-6211)

Approximately 304 comments were received via comment cards, emails, and through the interactive website. Many of the comments included more than one theme. The topics that received the most comments were:

- Recognize traffic congestion is an issue; request a wide range of alternatives be analyzed in place of a new interchange
- New interchange will bring negative impacts (e.g. decreased safety and property values, increased traffic volumes, noise, pollution, and growth, etc.)
- Maintain community heritage, cohesiveness and residences
- General concerns regarding community and transportation planning
- Support new interchange
- Environmental Study places too much emphasis on environmental resources and not the community needs; or general concern about study process
- Amenities at Exit 10 will continue to attract people with no relief to traffic congestion
- Agree with environmental study process
- Concern for relocation compensation

Comments

APPENDIX

Meeting Materials Comments



PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING (OPEN HOUSE FORMAT)

August 29, 2017 | 4:30 - 7:30 p.m.

Washington Elementary School (gymnasium) 300 North 300 East, Washington, UT

MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study will evaluate transportation needs in Washington City. The project team invites you to attend a Public Scoping Meeting to provide input and learn more about the study and the environmental process. The meeting will be an open house format from 4:30 to 7:30 p.m. Members of the public are invited to attend anytime during this period. Please note that an open microphone for verbal comments will be available from 5:30 to 6 p.m. and 6:30 to 7 p.m.







PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING

When : August 29, 2017 | 4:30 - 7:30 p.m.

Where: Washington Elementary School (gymnasium)

300 North 300 East, Washington, UT

The purpose of this meeting is to gather input from the public on transportation needs and environmental issues that should be considered during the study. Please visit our website or contact the study team via email or the hotline for questions about the Public Scoping Meeting or the MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study.

Additionally, a formal 30-day comment period will be held to inform the study process from August 15 to September 13, 2017. Comments can be made online at www.mp11.org, via email at info@mp11.org, or via mail at MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study, 555 S Bluff Street, Suite 101, St. George, Utah, 84770.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations should notify the team in advance.

The environmental review, consultation, and other actions required by applicable Federal environmental laws for this study are being or have been carried-out by UDOT pursuant to 23 USC 327 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated January 17, 2017, and executed by FHWA and UDOT.





PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING

(OPEN HOUSE FORMAT)

29th

August, 2017

4:30 - 7:30 p.m.

Washington Elementary School (gymnasium) 300 North 300 East, Washington, UT

The MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study will evaluate transportation needs in Washington City. The project team invites you to attend a Public Scoping Meeting to provide input and learn more about the study and the environmental process. The meeting will be an open house format from 4:30 to 7:30 p.m. Members of the public are invited to attend anytime during this period. Please note that an open microphone for verbal comments will be available from 5:30 to 6 p.m. and 6:30 to 7 p.m.

The purpose of this meeting is to gather input from the public on transportation needs and environmental issues that should be considered during the study. Please visit our website or contact the study team via email or the hotline for questions about the Public Scoping Meeting or the MP 11 Interchange Environmental Study.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations should notify the team in advance.





www.mp11.org



info@mp11.org

435.477.6211











Washington City Utah

August 29 at 4:26pm - 6

We need your input! UDOT and Washington City are conducting a study to evaluate transportation needs and potential solutions on I-15 near Green Spring Drive and Washington Parkway. Join us today Aug 29th from now until 7:30 pm for an open house at Washington Elementary (300 North 300 East). You will be able to provide valuable feedback and learn more about the study process. For more information and to sign up for email updates, visit www.mp11.org





For Immediate Release

UDOT to Oversee Study Examining I-15 Access and Associated Transportation Needs in Washington City

Public input sought at study scoping open house.

WASHINGTON, UTAH (August 28, 2017) – The Utah Department of Transportation (UDOT) will conduct a public open house Tuesday afternoon, August 29th, at Washington Elementary School (300 North 300 East, Washington, Utah) to hear from residents and interested parties regarding the initiation of an environmental study that will consider the potential for an additional interchange on I-15 or other transportation solutions in the Washington City area. Residents are invited to attend anytime between 4:30 p.m. and 7:30 p.m.

The Public Scoping Open House will solicit public comment and provide information regarding transportation needs identified by Washington City and the Dixie Regional Metropolitan Planning Organization. UDOT representatives will also be available to discuss the environmental study process, and related community considerations typically explored in such studies.

Participants will be invited to meet the collaborative study team, identify needs, share ideas, consider local transportation solutions and express concerns critical to determining the extent of study to be pursued. Various opportunities for public comment will be provided including an open microphone available from 5:30 - 6:00 p.m. and from 6:30 - 7:00 p.m. Participants will also be introduced to opportunities for continued study input and information.

In addition to the scoping open house, the public can visit the study website at www.mp11.org to read more information or to provide comments on the interactive map under the "public input" tab. A study hotline is also available at 435-477-6211, or email info@mp11.org for raising questions, leaving comments, or to receive updates about the study.

--UDOT--

Media Contact:
Kevin Kitchen
UDOT Region Four Communications

Email: kevin@utah.gov Mobile: 435.979.4551